UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,506	04/12/2004	Carl G. Hellerqvist	22100-0202 (49530-299673)	3571	
23370 JOHN S. PRAT	7590 09/19/2007 CT ESO		EXAM	INER	
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP		LI, RUIXIANG		
1100 PEACHT ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
77727771, 07			1646		
•					
		e e	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 09/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/823,506	HELLERQVIST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Ruixiang Li	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ılv 2007.					
,—	action is non-final.		•			
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>82,85-89,97,99 and 102-105</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 82,85-89 and 104 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>97 and 105</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>99,102 and 103</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			age			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
·						
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	,			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in

37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR

1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been

withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/20/2007 has

been entered.

Claims 82, 85-89, 97, 99, and 102-105 are pending and currently under consideration.

Withdrawn Objections and/or Rejections

The rejection of claim 97 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view

of the amended claim.

The rejection of claims 82, 83, 85-89, 98-100, 102-105 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph for scope of enablement is withdrawn in view of amended and canceled

claims, as well as Applicants' argument regarding the GBS toxin receptor that has at

least about 86% identity to SEQ ID NO: 87.

Art Unit: 1646

The rejection of claims 82, 83, 85-89, 97-100, 102-104 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for written description is withdrawn in view of amended claims and canceled claims.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st Paragraph

(i). The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

(ii). Claim 97 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an antibody or a fragment thereof that binds the mammalian GBS toxin receptors recited in the claims, does not reasonably provide enablement for an isolated antibody or a fragment thereof recited in claim 97. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with the claim.

The factors that are considered when determining whether a disclosure satisfies enablement requirement include: (i) the quantity of experimentation necessary; (ii) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (iii) the existence of working examples; (iv) the nature of the invention; (v) the state of the prior art; (vi) the relative skill of those in the art; (vii) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; and (viii) the breadth of the claims. *Ex Parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (Bd Pat. App. & Int. 1986); *In re Wands*, 858 F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Art Unit: 1646

Claim 97 is drawn to a composition comprising a reagent for detection of GBS toxin receptor, wherein the reagent is an isolated antibody or a fragment thereof. There are no structural and functional limitations for the antibody or a fragment thereof. It is wellknown in the art only an antibody that is produced using a GBS toxin receptor or its immunogenic polypeptide fragment can be used to detect the GBS toxin receptor. An antibody that does not have a binding affinity for the GBS toxin receptor cannot be used to detect the GBS toxin receptor. Thus, the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with the claim.

Response to Applicants' argument

Applicants argue that claim 97 and its dependent claims specify that the reagent for the detection of the GBS toxin receptor is an isolated antibody or a fragment thereof. This is not persuasive because the claim does not require that the recited antibody binds to the GBS toxin receptor.

(iii). Claim 105 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim 105 is drawn to a composition comprising an antibody or a fragment thereof that

recognizes a mammalian GBS toxin receptor, wherein the composition is isolated from

an animal or a human. However, there is no adequate description for such a

composition isolated from a human. One skilled in the art would not recognize from the

disclosure that the applicant was in possession of such a composition.

Applicants argue that support for claim 105 is found throughout the specification, as

filed. This is not persuasive because there is no support for the isolated composition

isolated from a human in the specification and Applicants fail to point out the support for

such a composition in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 99, 102, and 103 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 82, 85-89, and 104 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

Application/Control Number: 10/823,506

Art Unit: 1646

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 6

supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at the toll-free phone number 866-217-9197.

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D.

Rusciang L.

Primary Examiner

September 15, 2007

RUIXIANG LI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER